



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : G01N 33/68, 33/92	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/14548 (43) International Publication Date: 16 March 2000 (16.03.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB99/01596 (22) International Filing Date: 31 August 1999 (31.08.99) (30) Priority Data: 09/148,158 4 September 1998 (04.09.98) US (71) Applicant: LEUVEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT VZW [BE/BE]; Groot Begijnhof, Benedenstraat 59, B-3000 Leu- ven (BE). (72) Inventors: HOLVOET, Paul, N.; Broekstraat 13A, B-3010 Kessel-Lo (BE). COLLEN, Désiré, J.; Collingham Gardens, London SW5 0HN (GB). (74) Agent: VAN SOMEREN, Petronella, Francisca, Hendrika Maria; Arnold & Siedsma, Sweelinckplein 1, NL-2517 GK The Hague (NL).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the</i> <i>claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of</i> <i>amendments.</i>
(54) Title: DETECTION AND DETERMINATION OF THE STAGES OF CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE		
(57) Abstract A method having clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of coronary artery disease in a human patient from the general population and for distinguishing between the stages of the disease in that patient is disclosed. The stages are, first, the non-acute stage, which is either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina, second, the acute stage known as unstable angina, and, third, the acute stage known as acute myocardial infarction. The diseased state (as opposed to the non-diseased state) is indicated by the clinically significant presence of a first marker in a sample from the patient. The presence of one of the two acute stages, unstable angina or acute myocardial infarction, is indicated by the clinically significant presence of a second marker in a sample from the patient. The presence of the more severe acute stage known as acute myocardial infarction is indicated by the clinically significant presence of a third marker in a sample from the patient. Preferably the first marker comprises OxLDL, the second marker comprises MDA-modified LDL, and the third marker is a troponin. Preferably the OxLDL and MDA-modified LDL are detected using monoclonal antibodies that can detect the presence of those markers in undiluted human plasma at concentrations as low as 0.02 milligrams/deciliter.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

**DETECTION AND DETERMINATION OF
THE STAGES OF CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE**

TECHNICAL FIELD

5 The present invention relates to the field of coronary artery disease. More specifically, it relates to detecting with a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy whether a human patient from the general population has coronary artery disease ("CAD") and, if so, to determining with a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy which stage of CAD the patient has.

BACKGROUND ART

10 Steinberg D, "Lewis A. Conner Memorial Lecture, Oxidative Modification Of LDL And Atherogenesis," *Circulation* 1997, 95: 1062-1071, notes that deaths from coronary heart disease continue to outnumber deaths from any other single cause in the United States. Kolata, "A New Generation Of Tests To Determine Heart Trouble," *New*
15 *York Times News Service* (November 26, 1995), reports that half of the 600,000 Americans who have heart attacks each year have no symptoms beforehand and that as many as 30% of heart disease patients do not have any obvious risk factors such as high blood pressure, high cholesterol levels, diabetes, or a family history of heart disease.

 The ability to accurately determine whether a patient has coronary artery
20 disease and, if so, what stage the patient has, has been a long-standing (but heretofore unachieved) goal of medical science. There have been many attempts to provide monoclonal antibodies that recognize in humans and other animals various low density lipoprotein ("LDL") substances and/or other substances that might be associated with atherosclerosis and/or thrombosis. There have also been attempts to provide methods for
25 determining possible markers for atherosclerosis and coronary injury. See, e.g., U. S. Patent Nos. 5,024,829, 5,026,537, 5,120,834, 5,196,324, 5,223,410, 5,362,649, 5,380,667, 5,396,886, 5,453,359, 5,487,892, 5,597,726, 5,658,729, 5,690,103, and 5,756,067; EPO Published Application 0 484 863 A1; PCT/EP97/03287 (unpublished application); PCT/EP97/03493 (unpublished application); PCT Published Application
30 WO 94/23302; Adams et al., "Cardiac Troponin I. A Marker With High Specificity For Cardiac Injury," *Circulation* 1993; 88(1): 101-106; American Biogenetic Sciences Inc., 1995 *Annual Report*, 24 pages (1995); *American Biogenetic Sciences, Focus on*

- Diagnostic Tests: A Technology Analysis, Updated Full Report*, Paisley and Habermas, Inc. (June 3, 1996); Antman et al., "Cardiac-Specific Troponin I Levels To Predict The Risk Of Mortality In Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996; 335(18): 1342-1349; AtheroGenics, Inc. Web Site (WWW.ATHEROGENICS.COM);
- 5 Hamm et al., "Emergency Room Triage Of Patients With Acute Chest Pain By Means Of Rapid Testing For Cardiac Troponin T Or Troponin I," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1997; 337(23): 1648-1653; Hammer et al., "Generation, Characterization, And Histochemical Application Of Monoclonal Antibodies Selectively Recognizing Oxidatively Modified ApoB-Containing Serum Lipoproteins," *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 1995; 15(5):
- 10 704-713; Hoff et al., "Lesion-Derived Low Density Lipoprotein And Oxidized Low Density Lipoprotein Share A Lability For Aggregation, Leading To Enhanced Macrophage Degradation," *Arterioscler. Thromb.* 1991; 11(5): 1209-1222; Hoffmeister et al., "Alterations Of Coagulation And Fibrinolytic And Kallikrein-Kinin Systems In The Acute And Post-Acute Phases In Patients With Unstable Angina Pectoris," *Circulation* 1995;
- 15 91(10): 2520-2527; Holvoet, Collen, et al., "Stimulation With A Monoclonal Antibody (mAb4E4) Of Scavenger Receptor-Mediated Uptake Of Chemically Modified Low Density Lipoproteins By THP-1-Derived Macrophages Enhances Foam Cell Generation," *J. Clin. Invest.* 1994; 93: 89-98; Holvoet and Collen, " β -VLDL Hypercholesterolemia Relative To LDL Hypercholesterolemia Is Associated With Higher Levels Of Oxidized
- 20 Lipoproteins And A More Rapid Progression Of Coronary Atherosclerosis In Rabbits," *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* November 1997; 17(11): 2376-2382; Holvoet and Collen, "Oxidized Lipoproteins In Atherosclerosis And Thrombosis," *FASEB J.* 1994; 8: 1279-1284; Holvoet and Collen, "Malondialdehyde-Modified Low Density Lipoproteins In Patients With Atherosclerotic Disease," *J. Clin. Invest.* 1995; 95: 2611-2619; Holvoet,
- 25 Collen, et al., "Correlation Between Oxidized Low Density Lipoproteins And Von Willebrand Factor In Chronic Renal Failure," *Thromb. Haemost.* 1996; 76(5): 663-669; Holvoet, Collen, et al., "Correlation Between Oxidized Low Density Lipoproteins And Coronary Artery Disease In Heart Transplant Patients," Abstract published in *Final Programme* of 66th Congress of the European Atherosclerosis Society, Florence (Italy),
- 30 July 13-14, 1996, *Abstract Book*, page 47; Holvoet, Collen, et al., "Oxidized Low Density Lipoproteins In Patients With Transplant-Associated Coronary Artery Disease," *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* January 1998; 18(1): 100-107; Holvoet, Collen, et al.,

- Presentation at 70th Scientific Session Of The American Heart Association, Orlando, Florida, November 9-12, and published in abstract form in *Circulation* 1997; 96(Suppl. I): I417 (Abstract 2328); Itabe et al., "A Monoclonal Antibody Against Oxidized Lipoprotein Recognizes Foam Cells In Atherosclerotic Lesions: Complex Formation Of Oxidized Phosphatidylcholines And Polypeptides," *J. Biol. Chem.* 1994; 269(21): 15274-15279; Itabe et al., "Sensitive Detection Of Oxidatively Modified Low Density Lipoprotein Using A Monoclonal Antibody," *J. Lipid Res.* 1996; 37: 45-53; Kolata, "A New Generation Of Tests To Determine Heart Trouble," *New York Times News Service* (November 26, 1995); Kotani et al., "Distribution Of Immunoreactive Malondialdehyde-Modified Low-Density Lipoprotein In Human Serum," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1994; 1215: 121-125; Menschikowski et al., "Secretory Group II Phospholipase A2 In Human Atherosclerotic Plaques," *Atherosclerosis* 1995; 118: 173-181; Muldoon et al., "C-Reactive Protein And Serum Amyloid A Protein In Unstable Angina," *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1995; 332(6): 398-400; Ohman et al., "Cardiac Troponin T Levels For Risk Stratification In Acute Myocardial Ischemia," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996 335(18): 1333-1341; Palinski et al., "Antisera And Monoclonal Antibodies Specific For Epitopes Generated During Oxidative Modification Of Low Density Lipoprotein," *Arteriosclerosis* 1990; 10(3): 325-335; Ravalli et al., "Immunohistochemical Demonstration Of 15-Lipoxygenase In Transplant Coronary Artery Disease," *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 1995; 15(3): 340-348; Reade et al., "Expression Of Apolipoprotein B Epitopes In Low Density Lipoproteins Of Hemodialyzed Patients," *Kidney Int.* 1993; 44: 1360-1365; Reverter et al., "Platelet Activation During Hemodialysis Measured Through Exposure Of P-Selectin: Analysis By Flow Cytometric And Ultrastructural Techniques," *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* 1994; 124(1): 79-85; Salonen et al., "Autoantibody Against Oxidised LDL And Progression Of Carotid Atherosclerosis," *Lancet* 1992; 339(8798): 883-887; Uchida et al., "Protein-Bound Acrolein: Potential Markers For Oxidative Stress," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998; 95: 4882-4887; and Van de Werf, "Cardiac Troponins In Acute Coronary Syndromes," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996; 335(18): 1388-1389.

However, as noted in the literature, there is no currently available method for determining with a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease in a patient and, if the disease is present, for distinguishing with a

clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy between or among the non-acute (i.e., chronic) and acute stages of that disease, the non-acute stages being stable angina and presumably asymptomatic coronary artery disease and the acute stages being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction.

5 For example, U. S. Patent No. 5,380,667 (issued January 10, 1995) notes that most individuals with heart disease are largely asymptomatic until their first heart attack, that the major risk factors thus far identified in the prior art are not perfect predictors (particularly for predicting the risk of coronary artery disease in any single individual), and that thirty to forty percent of the population is still misdiagnosed using the
10 known major risk factors (column 1, lines 31-39).

Hlatky MA, "Evaluation Of Chest Pain In The Emergency Department," *N. Eng. J. Med.* December 1997, 337(23): 1687-1689, reports that after patients in the emergency department having clear-cut acute myocardial infarction have been identified, the remaining patients are more difficult to sort out; that symptoms suggestive of
15 myocardial ischemia at rest that last more than 15 minutes indicate a relatively high short-term risk, probably because of their association with ruptured coronary plaque; that further tests used for patients include those that identify a defect in myocardial perfusion, abnormalities in left ventricular wall motion, or subtle evidence of myocardial necrosis
20 though sensitive assays of intracellular proteins (e.g., CK-MB isoenzyme, myoglobin, troponin T, and troponin I); that even a highly sensitive marker of myocardial necrosis will not necessarily be positive in all patients with acute myocardial ischemia; and that patients who present for the first time with chest pain usually need further tests to establish the likelihood of underlying coronary disease and to guide appropriate therapy.

U. S. Patent No. 5,756,067 (issued May 26, 1998) notes that tests currently
25 available to measure the risk of developing atherosclerosis include measuring the plasma content of cholesterol, triglycerides, and lipoproteins but that it is clear that these tests are not conclusive because approximately one-half of heart disease due to atherosclerosis occurs in patients with plasma triglycerides and cholesterol within the normal ranges of the population and because angiographic evidence of atherosclerosis has been found in
30 patients with normal lipid levels.

Sasavage N, "Predicting Coronary Artery Disease, New Markers Could Identify Patients At Risk," *Clin. Lab. News* March 1998, pages 6-7, suggests that

oxidation of low density lipoproteins may render it more atherogenic, that detection of oxidized LDL species faces some technical difficulties, and indicates that coronary artery disease appears to be a multifactorial disease. It also states that those who work in this area agree that development of a new generation of biochemical markers will allow
5 clinicians to better assess patient risk and intervene with treatments to avoid adverse outcomes.

Thus, there is a significant need for a method that with a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy can detect coronary artery disease and distinguish between or among its stages.

10

DISCLOSURE OF INVENTION

An invention satisfying those needs and having advantages and benefits that will be apparent to one skilled in the art has now been developed. Broadly, this invention provides a method having a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute and
15 the acute stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient from the general population, the non-acute stage of coronary artery disease being either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina and the acute stages of coronary artery disease being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction, the method comprising performing step (b) and performing at least one of steps (a) and (c):

20

(a) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a first marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease;

(b) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of second marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a very
25 high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease; and

(c) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a third marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction.

30

In some embodiments of the invention, steps (a) and (b) but not (c) will be used, in other embodiments steps (b) and (c) but not (a) will be used, and in still other embodiments all three of steps (a), (b), and (c) will be used.

In some embodiments, the first marker is a first atherogenic protein preferably comprising OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety. In some embodiments, the second marker is a second atherogenic protein preferably comprising MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety. In some embodiments, the third marker is a heart protein and preferably is a troponin (e.g., Troponin I) or CK-MB.

Desirably each of steps (a) and (b) uses an immunological assay, which is preferably a sandwich assay, although a competitive assay may be used. Preferably, each immunological assay uses one or more monoclonal antibody having high affinities for their respective markers, e.g., affinity of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$. ("M" indicates molarity or gmoles/liter; "M⁻¹" indicates the reciprocal of molarity, or liters per mole.) The monoclonal antibodies used may be selected from the group consisting of mAb-4E6, mAb-1H11, and mAb-8A2.

If the marker of step (a) is OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, preferably the test used in step (a) is capable of detecting that substance in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of 0.02 milligrams/deciliter (0.02 mg/dL). If the marker of step (b) is MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, preferably the test used in step (b) is capable of detecting that substance in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of 0.02 milligrams/deciliter (0.02 mg/dL).

In another aspect, this invention provides a method having a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute and the acute stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient from the general population, the non-acute stage of coronary artery disease being either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina and the acute stages of coronary artery disease being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction, the method comprising the steps:

(a) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically significant presence of OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery

disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the OxLDL;

(b) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically significant presence of MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for MDA-modified LDL; and

(c) optionally testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a third marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction.

In yet another aspect, this invention provides a method having a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute and the acute stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient from the general population, the non-acute stage of coronary artery disease being either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina and the acute stages of coronary artery disease being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction, the method comprising the steps:

(a) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically significant presence of OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the OxLDL;

(b) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically significant presence of MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for MDA-modified LDL; and

(c) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a heart protein whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction.

The clinically significant presence (presence above a predetermined level) of the first marker (e.g., OxLDL having at least at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety) can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease. In other words, the test or assay of this invention used for detecting a marker of coronary artery disease will distinguish with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy between the following categories 1 and 2: (1) those who do not have coronary artery disease and (2) those who do have one of the categories or stages of coronary artery disease (i.e., those who have non-acute [or chronic] disease, namely, stable angina or presumably asymptomatic coronary artery disease, or those who have acute coronary syndromes clinically presenting as unstable angina or acute myocardial infarction), but by itself will generally not be able to distinguish between the categories (or stages) of coronary artery disease.

The clinically significant presence (presence above a predetermined level) of the second marker (e.g., MDA-modified LDL having at least at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety) can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease. In other words, the test or assay of this invention for detecting a marker of an acute stage of coronary artery disease will distinguish between the following categories 1 and 2: (1) those who do not have an acute stage of coronary artery disease (i.e., those who have either (a) no coronary artery disease or have non-acute coronary artery disease, namely, (b) asymptomatic coronary artery disease or (c) stable angina) but by itself will generally not be able to distinguish between those three categories a, b, and c, and (2) those who do have one of the two categories or stages of acute coronary artery disease (i.e., those who have either (a) unstable angina or (b) acute myocardial infarction) but by itself will generally not be able to distinguish between the two acute categories.

The clinically significant presence (presence above a predetermined level) of the third marker (e.g., CK-MB or a troponin) can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction. In other words, the test or assay of this invention for detecting a marker of acute myocardial infarction will

distinguish between the following categories 1 and 2: (1) those who have acute myocardial infarction and (2) those who do not (i.e., those who have no coronary artery disease, those who have non-acute coronary artery disease, namely, stable angina or presumably asymptomatic coronary artery disease, and those who have unstable angina) but by itself will generally not be able to distinguish between the non-AMI categories.

Use of the first and second tests (assays) together on a patient will allow the patient to be put with a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy into one of three categories: (1) having no coronary artery disease (first and second tests negative); (2) having coronary artery disease of the non-acute type, i.e., either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina (first test positive, second test negative); or (3) having coronary artery disease of the acute type, i.e., either unstable angina or acute myocardial infarction (both tests positive). The first and second tests may be used together, for example, as part of a screening or as part of a routine physical examination. If the patient is put in the first category, there is no problem. If the patient is put in the second category, the physician may take action such as recommending a change in life style, prescribing appropriate medication, etc. That is particularly true for asymptomatic CAD patients, who will be placed in the second category, and who may not have had any previous indication of coronary artery disease. If the patient is put in the category of acute coronary disease, the third test of this invention may be run to determine whether the patient has had or is having an acute myocardial infarction and, if that is the case, the physician may recommend immediate hospitalization and medication (e.g., tissue plasminogen activator, "TPA").

Use of the second and third tests (assays) on a patient without the first test also being run will likely occur less frequently than use of the first and second tests without the third test. However, for a patient who has acute symptoms that suggest an acute myocardial infarction (e.g., chest pain), the physician may run the third test to determine if the patient is in fact having an acute myocardial infarction (in which case the third test, e.g., for a troponin, would likely be positive) and will likely also want to run the second test to determine whether the acute myocardial infarction, if present, is most likely caused by coronary atherosclerosis (the second test, e.g., for MDA-modified LDL, would be positive) or if the acute myocardial infarction likely results from some other cause. For patients presenting classical symptoms of acute myocardial infarction, use of the second

and third tests together is highly advantageous and the first test might not be needed in the first instance or at all.

Thus, in accordance with this invention, if all three tests are run on a patient, the patient may be placed into one of the following categories with a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy: (1) having no CAD; (2) having non-acute (chronic) CAD, namely, either asymptomatic or stable angina; (3) having the first form of acute CAD, namely, unstable angina; and (4) having the second form of acute CAD, namely, (a) acute myocardial infarction ("AMI") that is likely due to atherosclerosis and (b) AMI that is likely due to a cause other than atherosclerosis. Categories 2, 3, and 4 may be thought of as being the stages of coronary artery disease (CAD).

The clinically significant presence of a first marker in a sample from a patient (first assay is positive) indicates that the patient is not in category 1 (no CAD) and is either in category 2 (asymptomatic CAD or stable angina) or 3 (unstable angina) or 4 (AMI). In other words, the clinically significant presence of the first marker in a sample from the patient indicates that the patient has coronary artery disease. If the first marker does not have clinically significant presence in the sample (first assay is negative), the patient is in category 1, in other words, does not have CAD. If the assay for the first marker is negative and the assay for the second marker is positive, it indicates a likely problem with one or both of the assays because that pairing of test results is highly unlikely, and one or both tests should be repeated. Thus, another beneficial feature of the invention is that by using the first and second assays together, a positive first assay will confirm a positive second assay, and a negative first assay will cast significant doubt about a positive second assay and will thereby indicate a likely problem with one or both assays.

The clinically significant presence of the first marker in a sample from a patient coupled with the clinically significant presence of the second marker in a sample from the patient indicates that the patient is not in category 1 (no CAD) or 2 (asymptomatic CAD or stable angina) and is instead in category 3 (unstable angina) or category 4 (AMI). If neither the first nor the second marker has a clinically significant presence, the patient is in category 1, in other words, does not have CAD.

The clinically significant presence of the first marker in a sample from a patient, coupled with the clinically significant presence of the second marker in a sample from the patient, coupled with the clinically significant presence of the third marker in a

sample from the patient indicates that the patient is not in category 1 (no CAD) or 2 (asymptomatic CAD or stable angina) or 3 (unstable angina) and is instead in category 4 (AMI). If the first and second and third markers do not have clinically significant presence, the patient is in category 1, in other words, does not have CAD. If the first and second assays are negative but the third assay is positive, it indicates AMI caused by something other than coronary atherosclerotic disease. If the second and third assays are positive and the first assay is not run (e.g., for a patient presenting classic AMI symptoms), the patient is in category 4 and the positive second assay indicates that the heart damage is likely caused by coronary atherosclerotic disease. If the first test is negative but the second is positive, the results are equivocal and it may indicate, e.g., a possible problem with one or more of the tests. If the first and third tests are positive but the second is negative, the results are equivocal and it may indicate, e.g., a possible problem with the tests or a possible non-atherosclerotic AMI.

Table I, below, summarizes the possible test outcomes and resulting categorizations (diagnoses) using the method of this invention (a plus sign indicates that the test for that marker is positive; a negative sign indicates that the test for that marker is negative):

TABLE I

Category	First Marker	Second Marker	Third Marker
No CAD	-	-	-
Chronic CAD	+	-	-
Unstable Angina	+	+	-
AMI (atherosclerotic)	+	+	+
AMI (non-atherosclerotic)	-	-	+
Equivocal	-	+	-
Equivocal	-	+	+
Equivocal	+	-	+

The "diagnostic accuracy" of a test, assay, or method concerns the ability of the test, assay, or method to distinguish between patients having a disease, condition, or syndrome and patients not having that disease, condition, or syndrome based on whether the patients have a "clinically significant presence" of an analyte. By "clinically

significant presence" is meant that the presence of the analyte (e.g., mass, such as milligrams or nanograms, or mass per volume, such as milligrams per deciliter) in the patient (typically in a sample from the patient) is higher than the predetermined cut point (or threshold value) for that analyte and therefore indicates that the patient has the disease, condition, or syndrome for which the sufficiently high presence of that analyte is a marker.

The terms "high degree of diagnostic accuracy" and "very high degree of diagnostic accuracy" refer to the test or assay for that analyte with the predetermined cut point correctly (accurately) indicating the presence or absence of the disease, condition, or syndrome. A perfect test would have perfect accuracy. Thus, for individuals who have the disease, condition, or syndrome, the test would indicate only positive test results and would not report any of those individuals as being "negative" (there would be no "false negatives"). In other words, the "sensitivity" of the test (the true positive rate) would be 100%. On the other hand, for individuals who did not have the disease, condition, or syndrome, the test would indicate only negative test results and would not report any of those individuals as being "positive" (there would be no "false positives"). In other words, the "specificity" (the true negative rate) would be 100%. See, e.g., O'Marcaigh AS, Jacobson RM, "Estimating The Predictive Value Of A Diagnostic Test, How To Prevent Misleading Or Confusing Results," *Clin. Ped.* 1993, 32(8): 485-491, which discusses specificity, sensitivity, and positive and negative predictive values of a test, e.g., a clinical diagnostic test.

Changing the cut point or threshold value of a test (or assay) usually changes the sensitivity and specificity but in a qualitatively inverse relationship. For example, if the cut point is lowered, more individuals in the population tested will typically have test results over the cut point or threshold value. Because individuals who have test results above the cut point are reported as having the disease, condition, or syndrome for which the test is being run, lowering the cut point will cause more individuals to be reported as having positive results (i.e., that they have the disease, condition, or syndrome). Thus, a higher proportion of those who have the disease, condition, or syndrome will be indicated by the test to have it. Accordingly, the sensitivity (true positive rate) of the test will be increased. However, at the same time, there will be more false positives because more people who do not have the disease, condition, or syndrome (i.e., people who are truly "negative") will be indicated by the test to have

analyte values above the cut point and therefore to be reported as positive (i.e., to have the disease, condition, or syndrome) rather than being correctly indicated by the test to be negative. Accordingly, the specificity (true negative rate) of the test will be decreased. Similarly, raising the cut point will tend to decrease the sensitivity and increase the specificity. Therefore, in assessing the accuracy and usefulness of a proposed medical test, assay, or method for assessing a patient's condition, one should always take both sensitivity and specificity into account and be mindful of what the cut point is at which the sensitivity and specificity are being reported because sensitivity and specificity may vary significantly over the range of cut points.

There is, however, an indicator that allows representation of the sensitivity and specificity of a test, assay, or method over the entire range of cut points with just a single value. That indicator is derived from a Receiver Operating Characteristics ("ROC") curve for the test, assay, or method in question. See, e.g., Shultz, "Clinical Interpretation Of Laboratory Procedures," chapter 14 in *Teitz, Fundamentals of Clinical Chemistry*, Burtis and Ashwood (eds.), 4th edition 1996, W.B.Saunders Company, pages 192-199; and Zweig et al., "ROC Curve Analysis: An Example Showing The Relationships Among Serum Lipid And Apolipoprotein Concentrations In Identifying Patients With Coronary Artery Disease," *Clin. Chem.*, 1992, 38(8): 1425-1428.

An ROC curve is an x-y plot of sensitivity on the y-axis, on a scale of zero to one (i.e., 100%), against a value equal to one minus specificity on the x-axis, on a scale of zero to one (i.e., 100%). In other words, it is a plot of the true positive rate against the false positive rate for that test, assay, or method. To construct the ROC curve for the test, assay, or method in question, patients are assessed using a perfectly accurate or "gold standard" method that is independent of the test, assay, or method in question to determine whether the patients are truly positive or negative for the disease, condition, or syndrome (for example, coronary angiography is a gold standard test for the presence of coronary atherosclerosis). The patients are also tested using the test, assay, or method in question, and for varying cut points, the patients are reported as being positive or negative according to the test, assay, or method. The sensitivity (true positive rate) and the value equal to one minus the specificity (which value equals the false positive rate) are determined for each cut point, and each pair of x-y values is plotted as a single point on the x-y diagram. The "curve" connecting those points is the ROC curve.

The area under the curve ("AUC") is the indicator that allows representation of the sensitivity and specificity of a test, assay, or method over the entire range of cut points with just a single value. The maximum AUC is one (a perfect test) and the minimum area is one half. The closer the AUC is to one, the better is the accuracy of the test.

By a "high degree of diagnostic accuracy" is meant a test or assay (such as the test of the invention for determining the clinically significant presence of the third analyte, which thereby indicates the presence of an acute myocardial infarction) in which the AUC (area under the ROC curve for the test or assay) is at least 0.70, desirably at least 0.75, more desirably at least 0.80, preferably at least 0.85, more preferably at least 0.90, and most preferably at least 0.95.

By a "very high degree of diagnostic accuracy" is meant a test or assay (such as the test of the invention for determining the clinically significant presence of the first analyte, which thereby indicates the presence of coronary artery disease, or the test for determining the clinically significant presence of the second analyte, which thereby indicates the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease) in which the AUC (area under the ROC curve for the test or assay) is at least 0.875, desirably at least 0.90, more desirably at least 0.925, preferably at least 0.95, more preferably at least 0.975, and most preferably at least 0.98.

By a "clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy" is meant a method (such as the method of the invention) that (1) in a first test can assay for a first marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease, (2) in a second test can assay for a second marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease, and (3) in a third test can assay for a third marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction; wherein at least the second test is run and either or both of the first and third tests are run.

The method of the present invention provides a degree of clinical diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute (chronic) and the acute stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient

from the general population that is that is significantly higher than any other previously known method. However, the advantages of this invention include not just the high overall accuracy made possible by its tests but that the use of the tests together rapidly provides all the information needed by the clinician about the patient to permit possible life-saving treatment. Thus, the physician will know by using the method of this invention for a specific patient that the patient does not have coronary artery disease; or, if the presence of the disease is already known, that the measures being taken to deal with it are either adequate or inadequate; or that patient has the disease but did not know it and that a change in diet and/or exercise habits and/or medication and/or other treatment are needed, but not on an emergency basis; or that the patient has a life-threatening coronary problem and must be dealt with on an emergency basis. Another advantage is that acute myocardial infarctions due to coronary atherosclerosis can be distinguished from those due to other causes, which knowledge will significantly affect treatment. Yet another advantage is that in some cases the tests will act to confirm the validity of each other and thereby give the physician more confidence in diagnosis and treatment.

BEST MODES FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

Lipoproteins are multicomponent complexes of protein and lipids. Each type of lipoprotein has a characteristic molecular weight, size, chemical composition, density, and physical role. The protein and lipid are held together by noncovalent forces.

Lipoproteins can be classified on the basis of their density as determined by ultracentrifugation. Thus, four classes of lipoproteins can be distinguished: High Density Lipoproteins ("HDL"), Intermediate Density Lipoproteins ("IDL"), Low Density Lipoproteins ("LDL"), and Very Low Density Lipoproteins ("VLDL").

The purified protein components of a lipoprotein particle are called apolipoproteins (apo). Each type of lipoprotein has a characteristic apolipoprotein composition. In LDL the prominent apolipoprotein protein is apo B-100, which is one of the longest single chain polypeptides known and consists of 4536 amino acids. Of these amino acids the lysine residues or moieties (there are 356 such lysine residues or moieties) can be substituted or modified by aldehydes (e.g., malondialdehyde).

Oxidation of the lipids in LDL (whether in vitro, e.g., by copper-induced oxidation, or whether in vivo) results in the generation of reactive aldehydes, which can then interact with the lysine residues or moieties of apo B-100. The outcome of this lysine

substitution or modification is that the resulting oxidized low density lipoprotein ("OxLDL"), which is also malondialdehyde-modified low density lipoprotein ("MDA-modified LDL"), is no longer recognized by the LDL receptor at the surface of fibroblasts but by scavenger receptors at the surface of macrophages. At least 60 out of 5 the 356 lysines (or lysine residues or moieties) of apo B-100 have to be substituted in order to be recognized by the scavenger receptors. The uptake of such OxLDL by macrophages results in foam cell generation, which is considered to be an initial step in atherosclerosis.

Endothelial cells under oxidative stress (e.g., in acute myocardial infarction 10 patients) and activated blood platelets also produce aldehydes, which interact with the lysine moieties in apo B-100, resulting in the generation of aldehyde-modified LDL that is also recognized by the scavenger receptors. However, the lipids in this aldehyde-modified LDL are not oxidized. Enzymatic activity in macrophages (e.g., myeloperoxidase) results in the oxidation of both the lipid and the protein moieties of LDL. All these pathways 15 result in aldehyde-type modification of the protein moiety of LDL.

The first marker can be any marker whose clinically significant presence indicates with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease. Desirably, the first marker is an atherogenic protein. Preferably, the atherogenic protein comprises OxLDL containing at least 60, desirably up to about 90, more desirably 20 up to about 120, preferably up to about 180, more preferably up to about 210, and most preferably possibly up to about 240 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety.

The second marker can be any marker whose clinically significant presence indicates with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease. Desirably, the second marker is an atherogenic protein. 25 Preferably, the atherogenic protein comprises MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60, desirably up to about 90, more desirably up to about 120, preferably up to about 180, more preferably up to about 210, and most preferably possibly up to about 240 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety.

The third marker can be any marker whose clinically significant presence 30 indicates with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction. The gold standard chemical marker for acute myocardial infarction has been CK-MB but may be shifting to the troponins (e.g., troponin I, troponin T). See, e.g.,

Adams et al., "Cardiac Troponin I, A Marker With High Specificity For Cardiac Injury," *Circulation* 1993, 88(1): 101-106; Antman et al., "Cardiac-Specific Troponin I Levels To Predict The Risk Of Mortality In Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996, 335(18): 1342-1349; Hamm et al., "Emergency Room Triage Of Patients With Acute Chest Pain By Means Of Rapid Testing For Cardiac Troponin T Or Troponin I," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1997, 337(23): 1648-1653; Ohman et al., "Cardiac Troponin T Levels For Risk Stratification In Acute Myocardial Ischemia," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996, 335(18): 1333-1341; and Van de Werf, "Cardiac Troponins In Acute Coronary Syndromes," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996, 335(18): 1388-1389. Another substance that may possibly be used as the third marker is a marker for active or incipient coronary thrombosis. Thus, a "marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction" should be understood to include markers of active and incipient coronary thrombosis even before substances indicative of cardiac tissue damage or death have been formed and/or released. Generally speaking, the third marker will typically be a "heart protein," which as used herein means a protein (e.g., an enzyme) that is produced as a result of or is otherwise associated with ischemic damage to the heart or that is a precursor or derivative of that protein.

Testing for the clinically significant presence of the markers may use any assays, methodology, and equipment provided the benefits of this invention can be achieved, e.g., chemical assays and immunological assays, such as competitive and sandwich assays, may be used. "Competitive assays" are well-known and any competitive assay may be used in this invention provided the benefits of the invention can be achieved. "Sandwich assays" are well-known and any sandwich assay may be used in this invention provided the benefits of the invention can be achieved.

In an immunological assay, any antibodies that have suitably high affinity for the target species may be used, and preferably the antibodies are monoclonal antibodies. As used herein, "high affinity" means an affinity constant (association constant) of at least about $5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (where "M" indicate molarity or moles per liter, and "M⁻¹" indicates reciprocal molarity or liters per mole), desirably of at least about $1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$, preferably of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$, and most preferably of at least about $1 \times 10^{11} \text{ M}^{-1}$. As used herein, "low affinity" (in contradistinction to high affinity) means an affinity constant (association constant) of less than about $1 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$, desirably less than

about $1 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, and preferably less than about $1 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$. Affinity constants are determined in accordance with the appropriate method described in Høfvoet, Collen, et al., *J. Clin. Invest.* 1994, 93: 89-98.

The preferred antibodies used in this first and second assays of this invention will bind with OxLDL and/or MDA-modified LDL whose apo B-100 moieties contain at least 60, desirably up to about 90, more desirably up to about 120, preferably up to about 180, more preferably up to about 210, and most preferably possibly up to about 240 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety. The range of lysine substitution will generally be from 60 up to about 240 substituted lysine moieties per apo B-100 moiety and sometimes from 60 up to about 180 substituted lysine moieties per apo B-100 moiety.

Each monoclonal antibody used in the first and second assays is desirably highly specific for a conformational epitope that is present when at least about 60, preferably up to about 120 lysine residues, are substituted and by virtue thereof can distinguish the first and second markers of the first and second assays. Antibodies recognizing epitopes present when less than about 60 lysines per apo B-100 moiety are substituted or modified are less specific but are still useful (e.g., they may be used as the secondary antibody in a sandwich ELISA).

The preferred antibodies used herein are monoclonal antibodies mAb-4E6, mAb-1h11, and mAb-8A2. Their affinity constants for native LDL, MDA-modified LDL, and OxLDL are as follows (the units are liters per mole, which equals the reciprocal of molarity or M^{-1}):

TABLE II

<u>Antibody</u>	<u>Native LDL</u>	<u>MDA-modified LDL</u>	<u>OxLDL</u>
mAb-4E6	less than 1×10^6	3×10^{10}	2×10^{10}
mAb-1H11	less than 1×10^6	3×10^{10}	less than 1×10^6
mAb-8A2	5×10^9	1×10^{10}	1×10^{10}

Monoclonal antibody mAb-4E6 is produced by hybridoma Hyb4E6 deposited at the BCCM under deposit accession number LMBP 1660 CB on or about April 24, 1997. Monoclonal antibody mAb-1H11 is produced by hybridoma Hyb1H11 deposited at the BCCM under deposit accession number LMBP 1659 CB on or about

April 24, 1997. Monoclonal antibody mAb-8A2 is produced by hybridoma Hyb8A2 deposited at the BCCM under deposit accession number LMBP 1661 CB on or about April 24, 1997.

The BCCM is the Belgian Coordinated Collections of Microorganisms authorized by the Budapest Treaty Of 28 April 1977 On The International Recognition Of The Deposit Of Microorganisms For The Purpose Of Patent Procedure ("Budapest Treaty"). Its address is c/o The University of Gent, K. L. Ledeganckstraat 35, B-9000 Gent, Belgium.

The three deposits were made at the BCCM under conditions prescribed by the Budapest Treaty. In accordance with The United States Code Of Federal Regulations (see 37 CFR § 1.808) and The United States Patent And Trademark Office's Manual Of Patent Examination ("MPEP") (see § 2410.01), all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material (except as permitted by the MPEP) will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of any patent issuing from this application or from any continuing application based thereon.

As described elsewhere, those three monoclonal antibodies were obtained in the following way (see PCT Applications PCT/EP97/03287, filed June 20, 1997, and PCT/EP97/03493, filed July 1, 1997 [both designating the United States and other countries, and naming Paul Holvoet and Désiré Collen as inventors]).

Balb/c mice were immunized by intravenous and intraperitoneal injection of either OxLDL or MDA-modified LDL. OxLDL was obtained by in vitro incubation of LDL (final apo B-100 concentration 700 µg/mL) with copper chloride (final concentration 640 µM) for 16 hours at 37°C. MDA-modified LDL was prepared by incubation of LDL (final apo B-100 concentration 700 µg/mL) with a 0.25 M MDA-solution for 3 hours at 37°C. The numbers of substituted lysines, measured in the TBARS assay, was typically 210 per apo B-100 molecule for OxLDL and 240 for MDA-modified LDL. Hybridomas were obtained by PEG-induced fusion of spleen lymphocytes derived from immunized mice with P3-X63/Ag-6.5.3 myeloma cells according to standard techniques (Holvoet, Collen, et al., *J. Clin. Invest.* 1994; 93: 89-98). The screening for hybridomas producing specific antibodies was performed with ELISA using microtiter plates coated with MDA-modified LDL or copper-oxidized LDL. Three hundred eight hybridomas were obtained after immunization of mice with either OxLDL (211) or MDA-modified LDL

(97). Hyb4E6 produced antibodies specific for both MDA-modified and copper-oxidized LDL (mAb-4E6), and Hyb1H11 produced antibodies specific for MDA-modified LDL (mAb-1H11) alone. Mice immunized with LDL in a similar method yielded hybridoma Hyb8A2, which produced antibody mAb-8A2.

5 The preferred assay is an Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay ("ELISA"). For example, in the case of a competitive ELISA, a solid substrate coated with OxLDL or MDA-modified LDL may be contacted for a predetermined period of time with the monoclonal antibody mAb-4E6 and a sample thought or known to contain OxLDL and/or MDA-modified LDL, after which period of time unbound antibody and
10 sample are removed and a binding reaction between antibody and OxLDL and/or MDA-modified LDL bound to the substrate is visualized and/or quantified. Quantification in a competitive ELISA is indirect because the binding between the antibody and the analyte in the sample is not measured but instead the amount of antibody that binds to the known amount of OxLDL or MDA-modified LDL that is coated on (bound to) the
15 substrate is measured. The more antibody bound to the known amount of OxLDL or MDA-modified LDL coated on the substrate, the less analyte there was in the sample.

 A typical competitive assay using monoclonal antibody mAb-4E6 is as follows. It is based on the inhibition by copper-oxidized LDL of the binding of mAb-4E6 to the coated wells of microtiter plates. Thus, standard OxLDL (or MDA-modified LDL)
20 and plasma samples are diluted in PBS (phosphate buffered saline) containing 1 mM EDTA, 20 μ M Vitamin E, 10 μ M butylated hydroxytoluene, 20 μ M dipyridamole, and 15 mM theophylline to prevent in vitro LDL oxidation and platelet activation. Equal volumes of diluted purified mAb-4E6 solution (final concentration 7.5 ng/mL) and of either diluted standard solution or diluted plasma samples (copper-oxidized LDL added as
25 competing ligand at a final concentration ranging from 50 to 500 ng/mL) are mixed and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Then 200 μ L aliquots of the mixtures are added to wells coated with MDA-modified LDL or OxLDL. The aliquots are incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. After washing, the wells are incubated for 1h with horse-radish peroxidase conjugated rabbit IgG raised against mouse immunoglobulins and
30 washed again. The peroxidase reaction is performed (see Holvoet, Collen, et al., *J. Clin. Invest.* 1995, 95: 2611-2619) and the absorbance (A) is read at 492 nm. Controls without

competing ligand and blanks without antibody may be routinely included. The percent inhibition of binding of mAb-4E6 to the immobilized ligand may be calculated as:

$$\frac{A^{492\text{nm}}_{\text{control}} - A^{492\text{nm}}_{\text{sample}}}{A^{492\text{nm}}_{\text{control}} - A^{492\text{nm}}_{\text{blank}}}$$

- 5 and standard curves may be obtained by plotting the percentage of inhibition against the concentration of competing ligand. The lower limit of detection is 0.020 mg/dL in undiluted human plasma.

In the case of a sandwich ELISA, mAb-4E6 (for MDA-modified LDL and OxLDL) or mAb-1H11 (for MDA-modified LDL) may be bound to a solid substrate and subsequently contacted with a sample to be assayed. After removal of the sample, binding between the specific antibody and OxLDL and/or MDA-modified LDL captured out of the sample can be visualized and/or quantified by detection means. Detection means may be a labeled, less specific secondary antibody that recognizes a different part of the apo B-100 moiety of the captured analyte (e.g., mAb-8A2).

- 15 A typical sandwich assay using monoclonal antibodies mAb-4E6 and mAb-8A2 is as follows. It is based on the binding of immunoreactive material to the wells of microtiter plates coated with the monoclonal antibody mAb-4E6 and the detection of bound immunoreactive material with the use of the monoclonal antibody mAb-8A2 labeled with peroxidase. This version of the ELISA is more suited for use in the clinical laboratory because it overcomes the need to prepare standard solutions of in vitro oxidized and/or aldehyde-modified LDL.

- Standard preparations and plasma samples are diluted in PBS containing antioxidants and antiplatelet agents as described above in connection with the competitive ELISA, 180 μL aliquots of 80-fold diluted plasma and of standard solutions containing between 10 and 0.01 nM of MDA-modified LDL are applied to the wells of microtiter plates coated with mAb-4E6 (200 μL of a 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ IgG solution), and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature. After washing, the wells are incubated for 1 hour with horseradish peroxidase conjugated mAb-8A2, IgG (final IgG concentration 65 ng/mL), and washed again. The peroxidase reaction is performed as described above in connection with the competitive ELISA. The absorbance measured at 492 nm will correlate with the log-value of the MDA-modified LDL concentration in the range between 1.5 nM and 0.3 nM.

Tests for the third marker (e.g., CK-MB, troponin I) are known. See, e.g., Adams et al., *Circulation* 1993, 88(1): 101-106; Antman et al., *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996, 335(18): 1342-1349; Hamm et al., *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1997, 337(23): 1648-1653; Ohman et al., *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996, 335(18): 1333-1341; and Van de Werf, *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996, 335(18): 1388-1389.

As used herein, "a human patient from the general population" should be understood broadly to be any human being and is not limited to human beings who have been formally admitted to hospitals or who do or do not have specific diseases, conditions, or syndromes. There may possibly be one or more subgroups of the general population for which the method of this invention is not as desirable; however, what those one or more subgroups are (if they exist at all) is not known at present.

The "sample from the patient" used herein may be any sample that allows the benefits of this invention to be achieved. Typically, the "sample from the patient" will be a fluid sample, typically whole blood or a fluid derived from whole blood (such as plasma or serum). Fluid samples (particularly whole blood, plasma, or serum), as opposed to tissue samples, have the advantage of being easily and quickly obtained and tested, which is particularly important in a clinical setting where time may be of the essence. Also, clinicians are accustomed to withdrawing fluid samples (particularly blood) from patients, and some of the markers may not be present or may not be present in sufficient quantities in tissue samples.

Whole blood may contain substances, e.g., cells, that interfere with the tests used in the method of the invention and, therefore, whole blood is a less preferred sample. The preferred sample is plasma, which is whole blood from which the cells (red blood cells, white blood cells, and platelets) have been removed, e.g., by centrifugation. Serum is plasma from which the fibrinogen has been removed (e.g., by causing clotting and then removing the clotted material) and is also less preferred than plasma.

As indicated above, any assays, methodology, and equipment may be used provided the benefits of this invention can be achieved. Thus, for example, the invention is not limited to the use of microwell plate technology. If, for example, the tests of the method of this invention involve using antibodies, those antibodies may be used in a wide variety of automated immunologic assay systems, which include chemiluminescent

immunoassay systems, microparticle enzyme immunoassay systems, fluorescence polarization immunoassay systems, and radioimmunoassay systems.

The method of this invention was used in connection with almost three hundred patients from the general population (who in this case did not include heart transplant individuals), as described below. Broadly speaking, statistical analyses of the results indicated that of the possible markers tested, the best marker for the first test was OxLDL, that the best marker for the second test was MDA-modified LDL, and that the best marker for the third test was troponin I.

A total of 286 individuals associated with the University Hospital Of Leuven either as employees or as individuals who were brought to the emergency department and/or admitted to the Hospital were studied: 105 patients with acute coronary syndromes, 64 patients with stable CAD, and 117 controls.

Individuals were classified as having an acute coronary syndrome (i.e., having an acute stage of coronary artery disease) if they had ischemic chest discomfort with ST-segment elevation or depression of more than 0.5 mm or T wave inversion of more than 1 mm. Of the individuals having an acute stage of coronary artery disease, those whose elevated creatine kinase (CK)-MB levels (and at least 3% of total CK) were present at the time of admission or in samples taken at 6 to 8 hours after admission were classified as having AMI. Alternatively, those acute-stage individuals who had no such CK-MB elevations were classified as having unstable angina.

Individuals with angiographically documented CAD and no clinical signs of ischemia within the previous month were considered to have stable CAD (i.e., in this case, stable angina).

One hundred seventeen individuals (72 males/45 females; mean age = 55 years) without a history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease were used as controls. They were selected from laboratory and clinical staff of the Hospital and from a population of individuals admitted to the Hospital who did not have a history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Venous blood samples were taken in the fasting state in controls and in individuals with stable angina. In individuals with acute coronary syndromes, blood samples were taken on admission before the start of treatment. Blood samples were collected on 0.01 M citrate, containing 1 mM EDTA, 20 μ M vitamin E, 10 μ M butylated

hydroxytoluene, 20 μ M dipyridamole, and 15 mM theophylline to prevent in vitro LDL oxidation and platelet activation. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3,000 g for 15 minutes at room temperature within 1 hour of collection and the resulting plasma was stored at -20°C until the assays were performed.

5 LDL were isolated from pooled plasma of fasting normolipidemic donors by density gradient ultracentrifugation (Havel et al., *J. Clin. Invest.* 1955, 34: 1345-1353). MDA-modified LDL and copper-oxidized LDL were prepared as described in Haberland et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA.* 1982, 79: 1712-1716, and Steinbrecher, *J. Biol. Chem.* 1987, 262(8): 3603-3608, and were used as standards. Characterization of modified LDL
10 involved measurement of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances ("TBARS"), determination of electrophoretic mobility on 1% agarose gels, quantitation of cholesterol and fatty acids by HPLC on a Nova-Pak C-18 reversed-phase column (Waters Associates, Milford, Massachusetts), quantitation of proteins by Lowry assay, and of phospholipids by enzymatic assay (Biomérieux, Marcy, France). See Holvoet, Collen, et al., *Arterioscler.*
15 *Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 1998, 18(1): 100-107, and Holvoet, Collen, et al. *J. Clin. Invest.* 1995, 95: 2611-2619. Apo B-100 molecules of in vitro MDA-modified LDL and of copper-oxidized LDL contained on average 244 and 210 substituted lysines, respectively. As noted above, although the extent of lysine substitution of in vitro MDA-modified LDL and copper-oxidized LDL is very similar, the lipid moiety in MDA-modified LDL is not
20 oxidized.

A mAb-4E6 based ELISA was used for the quantitation of OxLDL in plasma (see Holvoet, Collen, et al., *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 1998, 18(1): 100-107; Holvoet, Collen, et al., *Thromb. Haemost.* 1996, 76(5): 663-669; Holvoet and Collen, *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 1997, 17(11): 2376-2382; and Holvoet, Collen,
25 et al., *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 1998, 18: 415-422). This monoclonal antibody allows the detection of 0.025 mg/dL MDA-modified LDL or copper-oxidized LDL in the presence of 500 mg/dL native LDL. Plasma levels of MDA-modified LDL were measured in a mAb-1H11 based ELISA (see Holvoet, Collen, et al., *J. Clin. Invest.* 1995, 95: 2611-2619). This monoclonal antibody allows the detection of 0.025 mg/dL
30 MDA-modified LDL, but not of copper-oxidized LDL, in the presence of 500 mg/dL native LDL. Because the specificities of the two antibodies depend on the extent of protein modification, all lipoprotein concentrations are expressed in terms of protein.

Total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides were measured by enzymatic methods (Boehringer Mannheim, Meylon, France). LDL cholesterol values were calculated with the Friedewald formula. Troponin I levels were measured on a Beckman ACCESS immunoanalyzer using commercially available monoclonal antibodies (Sanofi, Toulouse, France). C-reactive protein levels were measured in a commercial immunoassay (Boehringer, Brussels, Belgium), and plasma levels of D-dimer were measured in an ELISA as described previously (see Declerck, Holvoet, Collen, et al., *Thromb. Haemost.* 1987, 58(4): 1024-1029). C-reactive protein is a marker of inflammation. D-dimer is a marker for thrombotic syndromes.

10 The values obtained are shown in Table III, below ("n" indicates the number of individuals independently known to be in each category).

TABLE III

	Controls	Stable angina	Unstable angina	AMI
	(n = 117)	(n = 64)	(n = 42)	(n = 63)
Age	55 ± 11	65 ± 10	72 ± 12	63 ± 11
Male/female ratio	72/45	53/11	28/14	42/21
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	180 ± 31	180 ± 35.3	175 ± 36.9	175 ± 37.2
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	110 ± 26	115 ± 30	109 ± 33.4	111 ± 32.4
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	49 ± 18	37.6 ± 13.2	45.2 ± 15.6	37.5 ± 9.7
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	137 ± 66	123 ± 46.2	103 ± 55.4	125 ± 56.7
Oxidized LDL (mg/dL)	0.85 ± 0.54	2.65 ± 0.97	3.22 ± 0.85	2.97 ± 1.02
MDA-modified LDL (mg/dL)	0.39 ± 0.15	0.46 ± 0.20	1.07 ± 0.28	1.19 ± 0.43
Troponin I (ng/mL)	0.0092 ± 0.011	0.035 ± 0.12	0.37 ± 0.66	1.30 ± 1.08
C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	3.38 ± 1.79	6.28 ± 9.0	17.4 ± 29.8	18.2 ± 35.5
D-dimer (µg/dL)	166 ± 162	299 ± 208	367 ± 340	602 ± 632

Quantitative data represent means ± standard deviations.

"AMI" is acute myocardial infarction.

Plasma levels of OxLDL were 0.85 ± 0.54 mg/dL (mean \pm standard deviation) in the 117 controls, and were 3.1-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 64 patients with stable angina pectoris, 3.8-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 42 patients with unstable angina pectoris, and 3.5-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 63 patients with AMI. (For comparison, a group of 79 heart transplant patients without CAD had OxLDL of 1.27 ± 0.061 mg/dL or 1.5-fold higher than the 117 controls and a group of 28 heart transplant patients with stable CAD had OxLDL of 2.49 ± 0.18 mg/dL or 2.9-fold higher than the controls. The reason for the apparent difference between the values for the non-CAD individuals who have had or have not had heart transplants is not known with certainty.) These results show that the test or assay of this invention used for detecting a marker of coronary artery disease in a patient in the general population will distinguish with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy between the following categories 1 and 2: (1) those who do not have coronary artery disease and (2) those who do have one of the categories or stages of coronary artery disease, but by itself is not able to distinguish with a sufficient degree of accuracy between the categories (or stages) of coronary artery disease.

Plasma levels of MDA-modified LDL were 0.39 ± 0.15 mg/dL in the 117 controls, were only 1.2-fold higher in the 64 patients with stable angina pectoris, but were 2.7-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 42 patients with unstable angina pectoris and 3.1-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 63 AMI patients. (For comparison, a group of 79 heart transplant patients without CAD had MDA-modified LDL of 0.38 ± 0.016 mg/dL or essentially the same as the 117 controls and a group of 28 heart transplant patients with stable CAD had MDA-modified LDL of 0.39 ± 0.038 mg/dL or also essentially the same as the controls.) These results show that the test or assay of this invention for detecting a marker of an acute stage of coronary artery disease will distinguish between the following categories 1 and 2: (1) those who do not have an acute stage of coronary artery disease (i.e., those who have either (a) no coronary artery disease or have non-acute coronary artery disease, namely, (b) asymptomatic coronary artery disease or (c) stable angina) but by itself will generally not be able to distinguish with a sufficient degree of accuracy between those three categories a, b, and c, and (2) those who do have one of the two categories or stages of acute coronary artery disease (i.e., those who have either (a) unstable angina or (b) acute myocardial infarction) but by itself will generally not be able to distinguish with a sufficient degree of accuracy between the two acute categories.

Plasma levels of troponin I were 0.0092 ± 0.011 ng/mL in the 117 controls, were only 3.8-fold higher in the 64 patients with stable angina, but were 40-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 42 patients with unstable angina and 141-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 63 AMI patients. In agreement with previously published data, troponin I was found to be a marker of acute myocardial infarction (see Adams et al., *Circulation*, 1993, 88(1): 101-106; and Antman et al., *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1996, 335(18): 1342-1349).

Plasma levels of C-reactive protein were 3.38 ± 1.79 mg/dL in the 117 controls, were only 1.9-fold higher in the 64 patients with stable angina, but were 5.1-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 42 patients with unstable angina and 5.4-fold higher in the 63 AMI patients ($p < 0.001$). In agreement with previously published data, C-reactive protein was found to be a marker of acute coronary syndromes (see Muldoon et al., Ryan et al., Oltrona et al., and Liuzzo et al., letters and reply by authors, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1995, 332(6): 398-400).

Plasma levels of D-dimer were 166 ± 162 μ g/dL in the 117 controls, were only 1.8-fold higher in the 64 patients with stable angina, but were 2.2-fold higher ($p < 0.001$) in the 42 patients with unstable angina and 3.6-fold higher in the 63 AMI patients ($p < 0.001$). In agreement with earlier published data, D-dimer was found to be a marker of acute coronary syndromes (Hoffmeister, *Circulation* 1995, 91(10): 2520-2527).

The data were also analyzed to determine the sensitivity and specificity of OxLDL (cut-point of 1.4 mg/dL), MDA-modified LDL (cut-point of 0.7 mg/dL), and troponin I (cut-point of 0.07 ng/mL) for the individual stages of coronary artery disease. In other words, below the cut-point, the individual is classified as not having the stage of CAD in question, and at or above the cut-point, the individual is classified as having that stage of CAD. The sensitivities and specificities are shown in Table IV as follows.

TABLE IV

For Acute Myocardial Infarction:			
	OxLDL	Sensitivity = 97%	Specificity = 100%
	MDA-modified LDL	Sensitivity = 94%	Specificity = 94%
5	Troponin I	Sensitivity = 90%	Specificity = 94%
For Unstable Angina:			
	OxLDL	Sensitivity = 100%	Specificity = 100%
	MDA-modified LDL	Sensitivity = 95%	Specificity = 94%
	Troponin I	Sensitivity = 33%	Specificity = 94%
10	For Stable Angina:		
	OxLDL	Sensitivity = 94%	Specificity = 100%
	MDA-modified LDL	Sensitivity = 7.8%	Specificity = 97%
	Troponin I	Sensitivity = 6.3%	Specificity = 100%

15 The data were compared using nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunnet's multiple comparison test using the Prism statistical program (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, California). Plasma levels of OxLDL and of MDA-modified LDL in patients with normal or elevated levels of troponin I, C-reactive protein, or D-dimer, and in patients with and without peripheral vascular disease were compared by
20 Mann-Whitney test. Discontinuous parameters were compared by Chi-square analysis.

A logistic regression model was used to describe univariately the relation between CAD (yes or no, i.e., does the individual have CAD or not) and several covariates. For the individuals who had CAD, the relation between the stability of the CAD (stable or unstable) and the covariates was checked by logistic regression models.
25 For the individuals who had an unstable CAD, the relation between unstable angina or AMI and the covariates was checked by logistic regression models. The relations between (1) stable or unstable angina, (2) stable angina or AMI, and (3) stable angina, unstable angina, or AMI and the covariates were examined by (multigroup for the latter) logistic regression models. For continuous variables, cubic spline functions were used to model
30 the relationship between the covariates and the response. This allowed specifying non-linear functions of the predictors in the model. A multiple logistic regression model was fitted, including all univariately significant variables and their confounding factors. The

confounding factors were checked by means of a Spearman correlation coefficient. The measure of predictive discrimination used to characterize the model performance was the area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. The software used was FE Harell Jr., "Design, S Functions For Biostatistical/Epidemiologic Modeling, Testing, Estimation, Validation, Graphics, And Prediction" (available from statlib.cmu.edu; request "send design from S," 1994); S-plus® 4.0 Release 3 for Windows (Mathsoft Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA); and SAS/STAT software version 6.12: SAS Institute Inc. (Cary, North Carolina, USA).

Table V, below, shows the results of the simple logistic regression analyses for describing the ability of each of the parameters to distinguish individuals without coronary artery disease from those with coronary artery disease.

TABLE V

Parameter	χ^2	df	p-value	Area under the ROC-curve (AUC)
Total cholesterol	115.06	4	0.0046	0.623
LDL	9.93	4	0.0416	0.591
HDL	26.49	2	<0.0001	0.671
Total chol/ HDL chol. Ratio	14.48	1	0.0001	0.630
Triglycerides	4.20	2	0.1227	0.576
Oxidized LDL	47.80	2	<0.0001	0.992
MDA-LDL	24.11	2	<0.0001	0.826

The area under the ROC-curve ("AUC") is 0.992 for OxLDL, which is almost a perfect score (1 is the maximum AUC). This indicates that the clinical presence of OxLDL above a predetermined level can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease as opposed to the absence of CAD. In fact, the very high degree of diagnostic accuracy is above the most preferred AUC minimum of 0.98. The only other AUC value that is anywhere near that value for OxLDL is the AUC value for MDA-modified LDL, which is 0.826, but even that is below the

minimum of 0.875 for a "very high degree of diagnostic accuracy." All the other AUC values are substantially lower. For example, for total cholesterol, which for the last decade or so has been the classic marker for determining whether someone has or is at risk for CAD, is only 0.623, which is a "rather low accuracy" (see Zweig et al., "ROC Curve Analysis: An Example Showing The Relationships Among Serum Lipid And Apolipoprotein Concentrations In Identifying Patients With Coronary Artery Disease," *Clin. Chem.* 1992, 38(8): 1425-1428, citing Swets, "Measuring The Accuracy Of Diagnostic Systems," *Science* 1988, 240: 1285-1293).

Table VI, below, shows the results of the simple logistic regression analyses for describing the ability of each of the parameters to distinguish between an acute stage of coronary artery disease (i.e., either unstable angina or acute myocardial infarction) and a non-acute stage.

TABLE VI

Parameter	χ^2	df	p-value	Area under the ROC-curve (AUC)
Total cholesterol	0.00	1	0.9464	0.520
LDL	0.12	1	0.7278	0.503
HDL	4.8	1	0.0285	0.570
Total chol/ HDL chol. Ratio	1.79	1	0.1815	0.555
Triglycerides	7.45	2	0.0241	0.618
Oxidized LDL	13.33	5	0.0098	0.672
MDA-LDL	18.66	3	0.0003	0.967
Troponin	24.42	2	<0.0001	0.848
C-reactive protein	19.93	2	<0.0001	0.710
D-dimer	5.32	1	0.0211	0.595

The area under the ROC-curve ("AUC") is 0.967 for MDA-modified LDL, which is a very high score. This indicates that the clinical presence of MDA-modified LDL above a predetermined level can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic

accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease (as opposed to a non-acute stage). In fact, the very high degree of diagnostic accuracy is above the preferred AUC minimum of 0.95. The only other AUC value that is anywhere near that value for MDA-modified LDL is the AUC value for troponin I, which is 0.848. All the other AUC values are substantially lower.

Table VII, below, shows the results of the simple logistic regression analyses for describing the ability of each of the parameters to distinguish between unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction.

TABLE VII

Parameter	χ^2	d.f.	p-value	Area under the ROC- curve (AUC)
Total cholesterol	2.00	1	0.1572	0.598
LDL	0.11	1	0.7451	0.531
HDL	5.75	1	0.0165	0.625
Total chol/ HDL chol. Ratio	0.6	1	0.4371	0.587
Triglycerides	1.64	1	0.1997	0.539
Oxidized LDL	1.62	1	0.2028	0.579
MDA-LDL	1.66	1	0.1977	0.586
Troponin	22.26	2	<0.0001	0.777
C-reactive protein	5.24	2	0.0730	0.637
D-dimer	0.16	1	0.6892	0.568

The area under the ROC-curve ("AUC") is 0.777 for troponin I, which, according to Swets (quoted in Zweig et al., *Clin. Chem.* 1992, 38(8): 1425-1428, above), indicates an accuracy useful for some purposes. This AUC value of 0.777 indicates that the clinical presence of troponin I above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction (as opposed to

unstable angina). In fact, the high degree of diagnostic accuracy is well above the preferred AUC minimum of 0.70. The next highest AUC value is the AUC value for C-reactive protein, which is 0.637. All the other AUC values, including those for OxLDL and MDA-modified LDL are substantially lower and are barely above the minimum AUC value of 0.5.

Table VIII, below, shows the results of the simple logistic regression analyses for describing the relation between each of the parameters and distinguishing between stable coronary artery disease (either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina) and unstable angina.

TABLE VIII

Parameter	χ^2	d.f.	p-value	Area under the ROC-curve (AUC)
Total cholesterol	0.80	1	0.3709	0.534
LDL	0.26	1	0.6122	0.526
HDL	0.28	1	0.5998	0.507
Total chol/ HDL chol. Ratio	0.34	1	0.5618	0.514
Triglycerides	10.08	4	0.0391	0.701
Oxidized LDL	10.53	3	0.0415	0.689
MDA-LDL	24.56	1	<0.0001	0.997
Troponin	14.88	2	0.0006	0.743
C-reactive protein	9.05	2	0.0108	0.631
D-dimer	4.66	1	0.0308	0.641

The AUC for MDA-modified LDL is 0.997 (almost a perfect value of 1), which shows that using MDA-modified LDL as marker can distinguish with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy between stable coronary artery disease and unstable angina. No other parameter comes close to matching the accuracy of MDA-modified LDL.

Table IX, below, shows the results of the simple logistic regression analyses for describing the relation between each of the parameters and distinguishing between stable coronary artery disease (either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina) and acute myocardial infarction.

5

TABLE IX

Parameter	χ^2	d.f.	p-value	Area under the ROC-curve (AUC)
Total cholesterol	0.58	1	0.4448	0.573
LDL	0.03	1	0.8742	0.488
HDL	7.27	1	0.0070	0.613
Total chol/ HDL chol. Ratio	2.56	1	0.1098	0.585
Triglycerides	5.49	2	0.0644	0.617
Oxidized LDL	4.21	1	0.0401	0.585
MDA-LDL	23.74	2	<0.0001	0.967
Troponin	28.75	2	<0.0001	0.921
C-reactive protein	25.60	2	<0.0001	0.763
D-dimer	4.19	1	0.0406	0.562

The AUC for MDA-modified LDL is 0.967, which shows that using MDA-modified LDL as marker can distinguish with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy between stable coronary artery disease and acute myocardial infarction. Troponin I, with an AUC value of 0.921 is good but not nearly as perfect. The next highest AUC value is 0.763, for C-reactive protein, but that is significantly lower than the MDA-modified LDL and troponin I AUC values.

All of these results show that the present invention provides a method having a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute and the acute stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient from the general population, the non-acute stage of

coronary artery disease being either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina and the acute stages of coronary artery disease being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction.

Variations and modifications will be apparent to those skilled in the art, and the claims are intended to cover all variations and modifications that fall within the true spirit and scope of the invention.

For example, the cut-points for the various markers will depend on which markers are used and which tests are used. When using the methods described herein, the cut-point may be 1.4 mg/dL (milligrams/deciliter) for OxLDL, 0.7 mg/dL for MDA-modified LDL, and 0.07 ng/mL (nanograms/milliliter) for troponin I. However, if, for example, a non-ionic detergent such as Tween 20 (polyoxyethylenesorbitan monolaurate; Sigma Chemical Company) is included in the buffer solution (the PBS solution) with which the LDL-containing material (e.g., plasma, standard, or control) is incubated (e.g., in a concentration in the buffer solution of up to about 1% w/v [weight/volume], with a value in the range of about 0.2% w/v to about 0.6% w/v appearing to be optimum), the OxLDL and MDA-modified LDL values may be significantly increased, in which case the respective cut-points would have to be increased. Without wishing to be bound by any theory, it is believed that a non-ionic detergent will separate the protein portion from the lipid portion of the OxLDL and MDA-modified LDL, that the preferred monoclonal antibodies mAb-4E6 and mAb-1H11 are directed to epitopes on the protein portion, and that removing the lipid portion from the protein portion removes steric hindrance and allows the antibody to bind to more sites on the same protein portion, thereby increasing the total amount of antibody that binds to a given amount of OxLDL or MDA-modified LDL. Thus, it has been observed that use of Tween 20 in a concentration of 0.2% w/v to 0.6% w/v in the buffer with a freshly drawn plasma sample increased the reported amount of OxLDL in the sample by a factor of over 10-fold as compared to when no Tween 20 was used in the buffer for the same sample amount of the same plasma. That is desirable because, broadly speaking, having a larger range for a marker whose presence above a predetermined value in a test indicates the presence of a disease, condition, or syndrome can increase the accuracy of the test.

CLAIMS

1. A method having a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute and the acute stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient from the general population, the
5 non-acute stage of coronary artery disease being either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina and the acute stages of coronary artery disease being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction, the method comprising performing step (b) and performing at least one of steps (a) and (c):
 - (a) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a first
10 marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease;
 - (b) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of second marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease; and
 - 15 (c) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a third marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the first marker is a first atherogenic protein.
3. The method of claim 2 wherein the first atherogenic protein comprises OxLDL
20 containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the second marker is a second atherogenic protein.
5. The method of claim 4 wherein the second atherogenic protein comprises MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety.
- 25 6. The method of claim 1 wherein the third marker is a heart protein.
7. The method of claim 6 wherein the third marker is selected from the group consisting of a troponin and CK-MB.
8. The method of claim 1 wherein step (a) uses an immunological assay that can indicate the clinically significant presence of the first marker.
- 30 9. The method of claim 8 wherein the immunological assay is a first sandwich assay.
10. The method of claim 8 wherein the immunological assay uses a first monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the first marker.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein the first monoclonal antibody has an affinity for the first marker of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$.
12. The method of claim 11 wherein the first monoclonal antibody is mAb-4E6.
13. The method of claim 1 wherein step (b) is conducted using an immunological
5 assay that can indicate the clinically significant presence of the second marker.
14. The method of claim 13 wherein the immunological assay is a second sandwich assay.
15. The method of claim 13 wherein the immunological assay uses a second monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the second marker.
- 10 16. The method of claim 15 wherein the second monoclonal antibody has an affinity for the second marker of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$.
17. The method of claim 16 wherein the second monoclonal antibody is mAb-1H11.
18. The method of claim 1 wherein step (a) is performed.
19. The method of claim 18 wherein the first marker is a first atherogenic protein
15 comprising OxLDL, and the second marker is a second atherogenic protein comprising MDA-modified LDL.
20. The method of claim 18 wherein steps (a) and (b) are performed using immunological assays.
21. The method of claim 20 wherein the assays are performed using monoclonal
20 antibodies selected from the group consisting of mAb-4E6, mAb-1H11, and mAb-8A2.
22. The method of claim 20 wherein the immunological assays are sandwich assays.
23. The method of claim 22 wherein the assays are performed using monoclonal antibodies selected from the group consisting of mAb-4E6, mAb-1H11, and mAb-8A2.
24. The method of claim 19 wherein the test of step (a) can detect the presence of
25 OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of 0.02 milligrams/deciliter and the test of step (b) can detect the presence of MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of 0.02 milligrams/deciliter.
- 30 25. The method of claim 1 wherein step (c) is performed.
26. The method of claim 25 wherein the third marker is a heart protein.

27. The method of claim 25 wherein the third marker is selected from the group consisting of a troponin and CK-MB.
28. The method of claim 1 wherein both of steps (a) and (c) are performed.
29. The method of claim 28 wherein the first marker is a first atherogenic protein.
- 5 30. The method of claim 29 wherein the first atherogenic protein comprises OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety.
31. The method of claim 28 wherein the second marker is a second atherogenic protein.
32. The method of claim 31 wherein the second atherogenic protein comprises
- 10 MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety.
33. The method of claim 28 wherein the third marker is a heart protein.
34. The method of claim 33 wherein the third marker is selected from the group consisting of a troponin and CK-MB.
- 15 35. The method of claim 28 wherein step (a) uses an immunological assay that can indicate the clinically significant presence of the first marker.
36. The method of claim 35 wherein the immunological assay is a first sandwich assay.
37. The method of claim 35 wherein the immunological assay uses a first monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the first marker.
- 20 38. The method of claim 37 wherein the first monoclonal antibody has an affinity for the first marker of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$.
39. The method of claim 38 wherein the first monoclonal antibody is mAb-4E6.
40. The method of claim 28 wherein step (b) is conducted using an immunological assay that can indicate the clinically significant presence of the second marker.
- 25 41. The method of claim 40 wherein the immunological assay is a second sandwich assay.
42. The method of claim 40 wherein the immunological assay uses a second monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the second marker.
43. The method of claim 42 wherein the second monoclonal antibody has an affinity
- 30 for the second marker of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$.
44. The method of claim 43 wherein the second monoclonal antibody is mAb-1H11.

45. The method of claim 28 wherein the first marker is a first atherogenic protein comprising OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety and the second marker is a second atherogenic protein comprising MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety.
- 5 46. The method of claim 45 wherein steps (a) and (b) are conducted using immunological assays.
47. The method of claim 46 wherein the immunological assays are sandwich assays.
48. The method of claim 46 wherein the assays are conducted using monoclonal antibodies selected from the group consisting of mAb-4E6, mAb-1H11, and mAb-8A2.
- 10 49. The method of claim 45 wherein the test of step (a) can detect the presence of OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of 0.02 milligrams/deciliter and the test of step (b) can detect the presence of MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of
- 15 0.02 milligrams/deciliter.
50. The method of claim 49 wherein the third marker is selected from the group consisting of a troponin and CK-MB.
51. A method having a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute and the acute
- 20 stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient from the general population, the non-acute stage of coronary artery disease being either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina and the acute stages of coronary artery disease being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction, the method comprising the steps:
- (a) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically
- 25 significant presence of OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the OxLDL;
- (b) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically
- 30 significant presence of MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of

coronary artery disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for MDA-modified LDL; and

- (c) optionally testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a third marker whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction.

52. The method of claim 51 wherein the assay of step (a) can detect the presence of the OxLDL in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of 0.02 milligrams/deciliter and the assay of step (b) can detect the presence of the MDA-modified LDL in undiluted human plasma in a concentration of 0.02 milligrams/deciliter.

53. The method of claim 51 wherein the at least one monoclonal antibody used in the assay of step (a) has an affinity for the OxLDL of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$ and the at least one monoclonal antibody used in the assay of step (b) has an affinity for the MDA-modified LDL of at least about $1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$.

54. The method of claim 51 wherein the monoclonal antibodies used in the assays of steps (a) and (b) are selected from the group consisting of mAb-4E6, mAb-1H11, and mAb-8A2.

55. The method of claim 51 wherein step (c) is performed and the third marker is a heart protein.

56. The method of claim 55 wherein the heart protein is selected from the group consisting of a troponin and CK-MB.

57. A method having a clinically sufficient degree of diagnostic accuracy for detecting the presence of and for distinguishing between or among the non-acute and the acute stages of coronary artery disease for a human patient from the general population, the non-acute stage of coronary artery disease being either asymptomatic coronary artery disease or stable angina and the acute stages of coronary artery disease being unstable angina and acute myocardial infarction, the method comprising the steps:

- (a) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically significant presence of OxLDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of coronary artery disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for the OxLDL;

- (b) testing a sample from the patient using an immunological assay for a clinically significant presence of MDA-modified LDL containing at least 60 substituted lysine residues per apo B-100 moiety, its presence above a predetermined level being able to indicate with a very high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of an acute stage of coronary artery disease, the assay employing at least one monoclonal antibody having a high affinity for MDA-modified LDL; and
- (c) testing a sample from the patient for a clinically significant presence of a heart protein whose presence above a predetermined level can indicate with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy the presence of acute myocardial infarction.
58. The method of claim 57 wherein the monoclonal antibodies used in the assays of steps (a) and (b) are selected from the group consisting of mAb-4E6, mAb-1H11, and mAb-8A2 and the heart protein is selected from the group consisting of a troponin and CK-MB.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal Application No

PCT/IB 99/01596

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G01N33/68 G01N33/92

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G01N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	HOLVOET P. ET AL.: "Malondialdehyde-modified LDL as a marker of acute coronary syndromes" JAMA, vol. 281, no. 18, 12 May 1999 (1999-05-12), pages 1718-1721, XP000870461 the whole document	1-58
P, X	HOLVOET P. ET AL.: "Oxidized LDL and malondialdehyde-modified LDL in patients with acute coronary syndromes and stable coronary artery disease" CIRCULATION, vol. 98, no. 15, 13 October 1998 (1998-10-13), pages 1487-1494, XP000870493 the whole document	1-58

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 February 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

14/02/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Pellegrini, P

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter national Application No

PCT/IB 99/01596

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
X	HOLVOET P.: "Oxidative modification of low-density lipoproteins in atherothrombosis" ACTA CARDIOLOGICA, vol. 53, no. 5, 1998, pages 253-253-260, XP000870466 the whole document	1-58
X	HOLVOET P ET AL: "OXIDIZED LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEINS IN PATIENTS WITH TRANSPLANT-ASSOCIATED CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE" ARTERIOSCLEROSIS, THROMBOSIS, AND VASCULAR BIOLOGY, XX, XX, vol. 18, no. 1, 1 January 1998 (1998-01-01), pages 100-107, XP002057383 ISSN: 1079-5642 the whole document	1-56
Y		57-59
Y	ADAMS J.E. 3RD ET AL.: "Cardiac troponin I. A marker with high specificity for cardiac injury." CIRCULATION, vol. 88, no. 1, July 1993 (1993-07), pages 101-106, XP000874405 abstract	57-59
A	HOLVOET P ET AL: "MALONDIALDEYDE-MODIFIED LOW DENSITY LIPOPROTEINS IN PATIENTS WITH ATHEROSCLEROTIC DISEASE" JOURNAL OF CLINICAL INVESTIGATION, US, NEW YORK, NY, vol. 95, no. 6, 1 June 1995 (1995-06-01), pages 2611-2619, XP002057381 ISSN: 0021-9738 the whole document	1-59
P, A	WO 98 59248 A (HOLVOET PAUL NOEL ;COLLEN DESIRE JOSE (BE); LEUVEN RES & DEV VZW ()) 30 December 1998 (1998-12-30) abstract claims	1-59
A	US 5 731 208 A (HEINECKE JAY W) 24 March 1998 (1998-03-24) abstract claims	1-59
A	WO 94 23302 A (UNIV AUSTRALIAN ;SELLEY MICHAEL LIONEL (AU); ARDLIE NEVILLE GORDON) 13 October 1994 (1994-10-13) abstract claim 18	1-59

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/IB.99/01596

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9859248	A	30-12-1998	AU 3442397	A	04-01-1999
US 5731208	A	24-03-1998	AU 4165297	A	26-03-1998
			EP 0931265	A	28-07-1999
			WO 9810295	A	12-03-1998
WO 9423302	A	13-10-1994	AU 6420294	A	24-10-1994

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)